

THE COMPLETE CONTINUITY PROPERTY AND FINITE DIMENSIONAL DECOMPOSITIONS

MARIA GIRARDI AND WILLIAM B. JOHNSON

ABSTRACT. A Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$ has the complete continuity property (CCP) if each bounded linear operator from L_1 into $\mathfrak{X}$ is completely continuous (i.e., maps weakly convergent sequences to norm convergent sequences). The main theorem shows that a Banach space failing the CCP (resp., failing the CCP and failing cotype) has a subspace with a finite dimensional decomposition (resp., basis) which fails the CCP.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a property of Banach spaces which is hereditary, it is natural to ask whether a Banach space has the property if every subspace with a basis (or with a finite dimensional decomposition) has the property. The motivation for such questions is of course that it is much easier to deal with Banach spaces which have a basis (or at least a finite dimensional decomposition) than with general spaces. In this note we consider these questions for the *complete continuity property* (CCP), which means that each bounded linear operator from L_1 into the space is completely continuous (i.e., carries weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences).

The CCP is closely connected with the Radon-Nikodým property (RNP). Since a representable operator is completely continuous, the RNP implies the CCP; however, the Bourgain-Rosenthal space [BR] has the CCP but not the RNP. Bourgain [B1] showed that a space failing the RNP has a subspace with a finite dimensional decomposition which fails the RNP. Wessel [W] showed that a space failing the CCP has a subspace with a basis which fails the RNP. It is open whether a space has the RNP (respectively, CCP) if every subspace with a basis has the RNP (respectively, CCP).

Our main theorem shows that if $\mathfrak{X}$ fails the CCP, then there is an operator $T: L_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ that behaves like the identity operator $I: L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ on the Haar functions $\{h_j\}$. Specifically, there is a sequence $\{x_n^*\}$ in the unit ball of $\mathfrak{X}^*$ such that x_n^* keeps the image of each Haar functions along the n^{th} -level large (i.e.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B22, 46B20, 46B28, 46G99.

The first author was supported in part by NSF DMS-9204301.

The second author was supported in part by NSF DMS-9003550.

$x_n^*(Th_{2^n+k}) > \delta > 0$) and the natural blocking $\{\text{sp}(Th_{2^n+k}: k = 1, \dots, 2^n)\}_n$ of the images of the Haar functions is a finite dimensional decomposition for some subspace $\mathfrak{X}_0$. Note that $\mathfrak{X}_0$ fails the CCP since T is not completely continuous (T keeps the Rachemacher functions larger than δ in norm). Thus a space failing the CCP has a subspace with a finite dimensional decomposition which fails the CCP. In the language of Banach space geometry, the theorem says that in any Banach space which fails the CCP grows a separated δ -tree with a difference sequence naturally blocking into a finite dimensional decomposition. If furthermore the space also fails cotype, then modifications produce a separated δ -tree growing inside a subspace with a basis.

Throughout this paper, $\mathfrak{X}$ denotes an arbitrary Banach space, $\mathfrak{X}^*$ the dual space of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $S(\mathfrak{X})$ the unit sphere of $\mathfrak{X}$. The triple (Ω, Σ, μ) refers to the Lebesgue measure space on $[0, 1]$, Σ^+ to the sets in Σ with positive measure, and L_1 to $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. All notation and terminology, not otherwise explained, are as in [DU].

The authors are grateful to Michel Talagrand and Peter Casazza for helpful discussions.

2. OPERATOR VIEW-POINT

A system $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k^n \in \Sigma: n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, 2^n\}$ is a *dyadic splitting* of $A_1^0 \in \Sigma^+$ if each A_k^n is partitioned into the two sets A_{2k-1}^{n+1} and A_{2k}^{n+1} of equal measure for each admissible n and k . Thus the collection $\pi_n = \{A_k^n: k = 1, \dots, 2^n\}$ of sets along the n^{th} -level partition A_1^0 with π_{n+1} refining π_n and $\mu(A_k^n) = 2^{-n}\mu(A_1^0)$. To a dyadic splitting corresponds a (normalized) Haar system $\{h_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ where

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{\mu(A_1^0)} 1_{A_1^0} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{2^n+k} = \frac{2^n}{\mu(A_1^0)} (1_{A_{2k-1}^{n+1}} - 1_{A_{2k}^{n+1}})$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$.

A set N in the unit sphere of the dual of a Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to norm a subspace $\mathfrak{X}_0$ within $\tau > 1$ if for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}_0$ there is $x^* \in N$ such that $\|x\| \leq \tau x^*(x)$. It is well known and easy to see that a sequence $\{\mathfrak{X}_j\}$ of subspaces of $\mathfrak{X}$ forms a finite dimensional decomposition with constant at most τ provided that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the space generated by $\{\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n\}$ can be normed by a set from $S(\mathfrak{X}_{n+1}^\perp)$ within $\tau_n > 1$ where $\prod \tau_n \leq \tau$.

Theorem 1. *If the bounded linear operator $T: L_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is not completely continuous and $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of numbers larger than 1, then there exists*

- (A) a dyadic splitting $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k^n\}$
- (B) a sequence $\{x_{t_n}^*\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$
- (C) a finite set $\{y_{n,i}^*\}_{i=1}^{p_n}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ for each $n \geq 0$

such that for the Haar system $\{h_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}$, for some $\delta > 0$, and each $n \geq 0$

- (1) $x_{t_n}^*(Th_{2^n+k}) > \delta$ for $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$
- (2) $\{y_{n,i}^*\}_{i=1}^{p_n}$ norms $\text{sp}(Th_j: 1 \leq j \leq 2^n)$ within τ_n
- (3) $y_{n,i}^*(Th_{2^n+k}) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$ and $i = 1, \dots, p_n$.

Note that if $\Pi\tau_n$ is finite, then conditions (2) and (3) guarantee that the natural blocking $\{sp(Th_j: 2^{n-1} < j \leq 2^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a finite dimensional decomposition with constant at most $\Pi\tau_n$.

The proof uses the following standard lemma which, for completeness, we shall prove later.

Lemma 2. *If $A \in \Sigma^+$ and $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite collection of L_1 functions, then an extreme point u of the set $C \equiv \{f \in L_1: |f| \leq 1_A \text{ and } \int_A f g_i d\mu = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ has the form $|u| = 1_A$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $T: L_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a norm one operator that is not completely continuous. Then there is a sequence $\{r_t\}$ in L_1 and a sequence $\{x_t^*\}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ satisfying:

- (a) $\|r_t\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1$
- (b) r_t converges to 0 weakly in L_1
- (c) $4\delta \leq x_t^* T r_t$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Consider $T^* x_t^* \in L_\infty$. Since $\|r_t(T^* x_t^*)\|_{L_\infty}$ is at most 1, by passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\{r_t(T^* x_t^*)\}$ converges to some function h in the weak-star topology on L_∞ . Since $\int h d\mu \geq 4\delta$ the set $A_1^0 \equiv [h \geq 4\delta]$ is in Σ^+ . (Compare this with [B2, proposition 5]).

We shall construct, by induction on the level n , a dyadic splitting of A_1^0 along with the desired functional. Fix $n \geq 0$.

Suppose we are given a finite dyadic splitting $\{A_k^m: m = 0, \dots, n \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, 2^m\}$ of A_1^0 up to n^{th} -level. This gives the corresponding Haar functions $\{h_j: 1 \leq j \leq 2^n\}$. For each $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, we shall partition A_k^n into 2 sets A_{2k-1}^{n+1} and A_{2k}^{n+1} of equal measure (thus finding h_{2^n+k}) and find $x_{t_n}^* \in S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ and a sequence $\{y_{n,i}^*\}_{i=1}^{p_n}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ such that conditions (1), (2), and (3) hold.

Find a finite set $\{y_{n,i}^*\}_{i=1}^{p_n}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ that norms $sp(Th_j: 1 \leq j \leq 2^n)$ within τ_n . Let

$$C_k^n \equiv \left\{ f \in L_1: |f| \leq 1_{A_k^n}, \int_{A_k^n} f d\mu = 0 \text{ and } \int_{A_k^n} (T^* y_{n,i}^*) f d\mu = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p_n \right\}.$$

Note that each C_k^n is a convex weakly compact subset of L_1 .

Since $\{r_t\}$ tends weakly to 0, for large t there is a small perturbation $\tilde{r}_t$ of r_t so that $\tilde{r}_t 1_{A_k^n}$ is in C_k^n for each k . To see this, put

$$F = sp(\{1_{A_k^n}\} \cup \{(T^* y_{n,i}^*) 1_{A_k^n}: k = 1, \dots, 2^n \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, p_n\}) \subset L_\infty \simeq L_1^*.$$

Now pick $t_n \equiv t$ so large that for $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$ and $i = 1, \dots, p_n$

- (d) $\int_{A_k^n} r_t(T^* x_t^*) d\mu \geq 2\delta\alpha_n$
- (e) $|\int_\Omega r_t f d\mu| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\alpha_n \|f\|$ for all f in F

where $\alpha_n = 2^{-n} \mu(A_1^0) \equiv \mu(A_k^n)$. Condition (d) follows from the definition of A_1^0 and the weak-star convergence of $\{r_t(T^*x_t^*)\}$ to h while condition (e) follows from (b) and the fact that F is finite dimensional.

Thus the L_1 -distance from r_t to ${}^\perp F \equiv \{g \in L_1 : \int_\Omega fg d\mu = 0 \text{ for each } f \in F\}$ is at most $\frac{\delta \alpha_n}{2}$. So there is $\tilde{r}_t \in {}^\perp F$ such that $\|\tilde{r}_t - r_t\|_{L_1}$ is less than $\delta \alpha_n$. Clearly $\tilde{r}_t 1_{A_k^n} \in C_k^n$ for each $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$.

The functional $T^*x_t^* \in L_1^*$ attains its maximum on C_k^n at an extreme point u_k^n of C_k^n . By the lemma, $u_k^n = 1_{A_{2k-1}^{n+1}} - 1_{A_{2k}^{n+1}}$ for 2 disjoint sets A_{2k-1}^{n+1} and A_{2k}^{n+1} whose union is A_k^n . Furthermore, A_{2k-1}^{n+1} and A_{2k}^{n+1} are of equal measure since $\int_{A_k^n} u_k^n d\mu = 0$.

Condition (3) holds since for $i = 1, \dots, p_n$ and $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$

$$y_{n,i}^*(Th_{2^n+k}) = \alpha_n^{-1} \int_{A_k^n} (T^*y_{n,i}^*) u_k^n d\mu = 0 .$$

Condition (1) follows from the observations that

$$x_{t_n}^*(Th_{2^n+k}) = \alpha_n^{-1} (T^*x_{t_n}^*) u_k^n \geq \alpha_n^{-1} (T^*x_{t_n}^*)(\tilde{r}_t 1_{A_k^n})$$

and

$$|(T^*x_{t_n}^*)(\tilde{r}_t 1_{A_k^n}) - (T^*x_{t_n}^*)(r_t 1_{A_k^n})| \leq \|\tilde{r}_t - r_t\|_{L_1} < \delta \alpha_n$$

and

$$(T^*x_{t_n}^*)(r_t 1_{A_k^n}) \geq 2\delta \alpha_n . \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Fix a function f of C such that $|f| \neq 1_A$. Find a positive α and a subset B of A with positive measure such that $|f 1_B| < 1 - \alpha$.

Let $\tilde{\Sigma} = B \cap \Sigma$. Consider the measures $\lambda_i : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\lambda_i(E) \equiv \int_E g_i d\mu$. Define the measure $\lambda : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$\lambda(E) = (\lambda_1(E), \dots, \lambda_n(E), \mu(E)) .$$

Liapounoff's Convexity Theorem gives a subset B_1 of B satisfying $\lambda(B_1) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(B) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\emptyset)$. Set $B_2 = B \setminus B_1$. Note that

$$\lambda_i(B_1) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_i(B) = \lambda_i(B_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(B_1) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(B) = \mu(B_2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Set

$$f_1 = f + \alpha (1_{B_1} - 1_{B_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = f + \alpha (1_{B_2} - 1_{B_1}) .$$

Clearly f_1 and f_2 are in C and $f = \frac{1}{2}f_1 + \frac{1}{2}f_2$. Thus f is not an extreme point of C . $\blacksquare$

3. GEOMETRIC VIEW-POINT

Consider a non-completely-continuous operator $T: L_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ along with the corresponding Haar system $\{h_j\}$ from Theorem 1. Let $\{I_k^n = [\frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n})\}_{n,k}$ be the usual dyadic splitting of $[0, 1]$ with corresponding Haar functions $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \geq 1}$. Consider the map $\tilde{T} \equiv T \circ S$ where $S: L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ is the isometry that takes $\tilde{h}_j$ to h_j . Theorem 1 gives that there is a sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ and a subspace $\mathfrak{X}_0$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ such that

- (1) $x_n^*(\tilde{T}\tilde{h}_{2^n+k}) > \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$
- (2) $\{\text{sp}(\tilde{T}\tilde{h}_j: 2^{n-1} < j \leq 2^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a finite dimensional decomposition of $\mathfrak{X}_0$ with constant at most $1 + \tau$.

The next corollary follows from the observation that $\tilde{T}$ is not completely continuous and $\tilde{T} L_1 \subset \mathfrak{X}_0$.

Corollary 3. *A Banach space failing the CCP has a subspace with a finite dimensional decomposition (with constant arbitrarily close to 1) that fails the CCP.*

A *tree* in $\mathfrak{X}$ is a system of the form $\{x_k^n: n = 0, 1, \dots; k = 1, \dots, 2^n\}$ satisfying

$$x_k^n = \frac{x_{2k-1}^{n+1} + x_{2k}^{n+1}}{2}.$$

Associated to a tree is its difference system $\{d_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ where $d_1 = x_1^0$ and

$$d_{2^n+k} = \frac{x_{2k-1}^{n+1} - x_{2k}^{n+1}}{2}.$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the bounded linear operators T from L_1 into $\mathfrak{X}$ and bounded trees $\{x_k^n\}$ growing in $\mathfrak{X}$. This correspondence is realized by $T(\tilde{h}_j) = d_j$.

A tree is a δ -Rademacher tree if $\|\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} d_{2^n+k}\| \geq 2^n \delta$. A tree is a *separated δ -tree* if there exists a sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $S(\mathfrak{X}^*)$ such that $x_n^*(d_{2^n+k}) > \delta$. Clearly, a separated δ -tree is also a δ -Rademacher tree. The operator corresponding to a δ -Rademacher tree is not completely continuous since the image of the Rademacher functions stay large in norm. Thus if a bounded δ -Rademacher tree (or separated δ -tree) grows in $\mathfrak{X}$, then $\mathfrak{X}$ fails the CCP.

In any Banach space failing the CCP, a bounded δ -Rademacher tree grows (see [G1] for a direct proof); in fact, even a bounded separated δ -tree grows (see [G2] for an indirect proof). The proof of Theorem 1 is a *direct* proof that *if $\mathfrak{X}$ fails CCP then a bounded separated δ -tree, with a difference sequence naturally blocking into a finite dimensional decomposition, grows in $\mathfrak{X}$.*

As previously mentioned, we do not know whether a space failing CCP must have a subspace with a basis which fails CCP. However, if the space also fails cotype (i.e. the space contains ℓ_∞^n uniformly for all n), then Theorem 1 can be modified to show this is so.

The main point is that if $\mathfrak{X}$ fails cotype, W is a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$, and Z is a finite codimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$, then there is a finite dimensional subspace Y of Z such that $W + Y$ has a basis with basis constant less than, say, 10. To see this, use the fact ([P], [JRZ]) that W is $(1 + \epsilon)$ -complemented in a finite dimensional space which has a basis with basis constant less than $1 + \epsilon$ and embed the complement to W in that superspace into $Z \cap {}^\perp F$, where F is a finite subset of $\mathfrak{X}^*$ which $(1 + \epsilon)$ -norms W . This is possible because finite codimensional subspaces of $\mathfrak{X}$ must contain ℓ_∞^n uniformly for all n and hence [J] contain even $(1 + \epsilon)$ -isomorphs of ℓ_∞^n for all n .

Corollary 4. *A Banach space failing the CCP and failing cotype has a subspace with a basis that fails the CCP.*

To see this, it is enough by the argument for Corollary 3 to observe that when $\mathfrak{X}$ fails cotype Theorem 1 can be improved by adding:

(D) a finite dimensional subspace Y_n of $\mathfrak{X}$ for each $n \geq 0$ with $Y_0 = \emptyset$,

changing (2) and (3) to:

(2') $\{y_{n,i}^*\}_{i=1}^{p_n}$ norms $\text{sp}(\cup_{k=0}^n Y_k \cup \{Th_j : 1 \leq j \leq 2^n\})$ within τ_n

(3') $y_{n,i}^*(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y_{n+1} \cup \{Th_{2^n+k}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}$ and $1 \leq i \leq p_n$,

and adding:

(4) $\text{sp}(Y_{n+1} \cup \{Th_{2^n+k}\}_{k=1}^{2^n})$ has a basis with basis constant less than 10.

Condition (2') is easily arranged. To achieve (D), (3'), and (4), at the inductive step in the proof of Theorem 1, after selecting A_k^{n+1} (thereby defining h_j for $j = 2^n + 1, \dots, 2^{n+1}$), choose a finite dimensional space $Y_{n+1} \subset {}^\perp \{y_{n,i}^*\}_{i=1}^{p_n}$ so that $Y_{n+1} + \text{sp}\{Th_{2^n+k}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}$ has a basis with basis constant less than 10.

REFERENCES

- [B1]. J. Bourgain, *Dentability and finite-dimensional decompositions*, Studia Mathematica **LXVII** (1980), 135–148.
- [B2]. J. Bourgain, *Dunford-Pettis operators on L_1 and the Radon-Nikodým property*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 34–47.
- [BR]. J. Bourgain and H. P. Rosenthal, *Martingales valued in certain subspaces of L_1* , Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 54–75.
- [C]. P. G. Casazza, *Finite dimensional decompositions in Banach spaces*, Contemporary Mathematics **52** (1986), 1–31.
- [G1]. Maria Girardi, *Dunford-Pettis operators on L_1 and the complete continuity property*, Thesis (1990).
- [G2]. Maria Girardi, *Dentability, trees, and Dunford-Pettis operators on L_1* , Pacific J. Math. **148** (1991), 59–79.
- [DU]. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, no. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [J]. R. C. James, *Uniformly non-square Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. **80** (1964), 542–550.
- [JRZ]. W. B. Johnson, H. P. Rosenthal, and M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions, and weaker structures in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 488–506.
- [P]. A. Pelczyński, *Any separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property is a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a basis*, Studia Math. **40** (1971), 239–242.

[W]. Alan Wessel, *Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle (Paris VII–VI, 1985–1986)*, Publications Mathématiques de l'Université Paris VII, Paris.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA, SC 29208.
E-MAIL: GIRARDI@MATH.SCAROLINA.EDU

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843.
E-MAIL: WBJ7835@VENUS.TAMU.EDU